GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 213/2017

Shri Raghunath Shetkar, H.No. 418,G-17,Oitiyant, Bastolem, Taleigo, Tiswadi, Goa.

..... Appellant

V/s.

- 1. First Appellate Authority, North Goa Planning and Development Authority, Mala, Panaji Goa.
- 2. Public Information Officer, North Goa Planning and Development Authority, Mala, Panaji Goa .

..... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on:06/12/2017 Decided on: 31/1/2018

ORDER

- 1. The second appeal came to be filed by the Appellant, Shri Raghunath Shetkar on 6/12/2017 against Respondent no. 2 PIO of the Office of North Goa Planning and Development authority Mala Panaji Goa and as against Respondent no. 1 the first appellate authority under subsection (3) of section 19(3) of RTI Act, 2005.
- 2. The facts leading to Second appeal are that the appellant vide his application dated 27/2/2017 had sought the information on three points with regards to Notification reference: NGPDA/Panajim ODP/2604/2016 dated 27/12/2016 published in official gazette Series III No. 38 dated 28/12/2016 on outline Development plan of Panaji 2021.
- 3. The same was responded by respondent No. 2 PIO on 8/3/2017 thereby denying the information on all three points.

- 4. Being not satisfied with the said reply of PIO , the appellant preferred first appeal u/s 19(1) before Respondent No. 1 and the respondent No. 1 by an order dated 30/10/2017 directed Respondent PIO to furnish the report of Sub Committee u/s 35(6) and the approval of the report by the authority which was prepared at thetime of finalising Panaji out line Plan-2021 to the appellant. As according to the first appellate authority the said documents contained the information sought by the appellant under item No. 1,2,and 3 of his application dated 2702/2017.
- 5. Being aggrieved by the action of both the Respondent the appellant approach this commission with the present second appeal with the prayer against Respondent NO. 2 PIO to provide him information at point No. 1 & 3.
- 6. After notifying the parties the matter is listed on the Board and was taken up for hearing. The appellant was present in person and Respondent No. 2 represented by Advocate Saily Bandodkar.
- 7. The appellant in the course of the hearing submitted that his query raised under the RTI application at point No. 1 have not been answered and not furnished to him. According to the appellant the report which is furnished to him gives details of only those application which was accepted by the committee and does not give the details of all the applications received under Notification reference NGPDA/Panaji ODP/2604/2016 dated 27/12/2016
- 8. The Advocate for the respondent PIO On subsequent date of hearing filed memo dated 31/1/2018 annexing the information at point No. 1. The copy of the same is furnished to the appellant.
- 9. On verification of the said information the appellant submitted that with the said required information , his application u/s 6(1) have been completely answered and he satisfied with the information

furnished to him and he prayed for disposal of the present appeal. Accordingly he endorsed his say on memo of appeal.

10. In view of the submission and endorsements made by the appellant I find no reason to proceed with the appeal.

Accordingly appeal disposed proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Proceeding stands close.

Sd/-

(**Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar**)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa